## TEXAS TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

ALL Counties MINUTE ORDER Page 1 of 1

**ALL Districts** 

The Texas Transportation Commission (commission) finds it necessary to propose amendments to §§11.403-11.406, and §11.411 relating to Transportation Alternatives Set-Aside Program to be codified under Title 43, Texas Administrative Code, Part 1.

The preamble and the proposed amendments, attached to this minute order as Exhibits A and B, are incorporated by reference as though set forth verbatim in this minute order, except that they are subject to technical corrections and revisions, approved by the general counsel, necessary for compliance with state or federal law or for acceptance by the Secretary of State for filing and publication in the *Texas Register*.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED by the commission that the amendments to §§11.403-11.406, and §11.411 are proposed for adoption and are authorized for publication in the *Texas Register* for the purpose of receiving public comments.

The executive director is directed to take the necessary steps to implement the actions as ordered in this minute order, pursuant to the requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act, Government Code, Chapter 2001.

Submitted and reviewed by:

—Docusigned by: Eric Glason

Director, Public Transportation Division

Recommended by:

DocuSigned by:

A36629BA547D4BD...

Executive Director

115852 Sept. 24, 2020

Minute Number Date Passed

1 Proposed Preamble 2 The Texas Department of Transportation (department) proposes amendments to §§11.403-11.406, and §11.411, concerning 3 4 Transportation Alternatives Set-Aside Program. 5 6 EXPLANATION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS 7 The department is amending its current Transportation Alternative Set-Aside Program (TASA) rules to encourage and improve project 8 proposals from communities with a population of 50,000 or less, 9 reduce the department's risk of federal funds lapsing in the 10 11 nonurban funding category, streamline project delivery, and improve the likelihood of successful completion of awarded 12 projects. Changes to the rules regarding eligible activities, 13 allowable costs, local fund matching requirements, and project 14 15 selection by metropolitan planning organizations (MPO) and the 16 department are proposed. 17 18 Under federal guidelines, the department is responsible for 19 project oversight for preliminary engineering and construction whether TASA funds are administered by the department or an MPO. 20 These direct state costs are federally reimbursable and are 21 22 included as a part of the overall project award for the 23 department's program and some MPO programs. However, some MPOs require the project sponsor to cover direct state costs at 100 24 25 percent. 26

GCD: 7/7/2020 2:59 PM

Page 2 of 10

- 1 Amendments to \$11.403, Project Selection by MPOs, add a new
- 2 subsection (e) to require an MPO to include the department's
- 3 direct state costs for oversight of preliminary engineering and
- 4 construction in TA Set-Aside project awards. This change reduces
- 5 the financial exposure for communities applying for TASA funds
- 6 administered by MPOs and establishes consistency among the MPOs
- 7 across state. This change also provides consistency among
- 8 projects administered by an MPO and projects administered by the
- 9 department. Existing subsections (e)-(j) are re-designated
- 10 accordingly.

11

- 12 The amendment to re-designated subsection (j) restricts project
- 13 sponsors from submitting a project to both a department TASA
- 14 program call and an MPO program call concurrently.

- 16 The department's Public Transportation Division's (PTN) Bicycle
- 17 and Pedestrian Section administers TASA funds for projects
- 18 located outside Census Urbanized Areas of 200,000 or greater,
- 19 which are identified as Transportation Management Areas (TMAs).
- 20 MPOs administer TASA funds within their entire planning area.
- 21 This results in areas of overlap, where communities that fall
- 22 inside an MPO but outside the TMA boundary are eligible to apply
- 23 for TASA funds from both the department and the relevant MPO.
- 24 Currently, a project sponsor in an overlapping area that submits
- 25 a project to an MPO's call for projects and is not awarded funds,
- 26 is prohibited from submitting that same project to any department
- 27 TASA program call. This prohibition reduces the pool of

Page 3 of 10

- 1 potential applications to the department's TASA call for
- 2 projects, especially applications from smaller communities within
- 3 MPO boundaries that may have a hard time competing with larger
- 4 communities within their MPO. Additionally, smaller MPOs receive
- 5 limited TASA funding, which may result in their funding only a
- 6 few projects in each program call.

7

- 8 The amendment to re-designated subsection (j) also removes the
- 9 restriction that prohibits a project sponsor from submitting a
- 10 project to a future department TASA program call or future MPO
- 11 program call.

- 13 Under federal guidelines, TASA funds are available for
- 14 obligation for a period ending three years after the last day of
- 15 the federal fiscal year for which the funds are authorized. TASA
- 16 funds are allocated based on population, with approximately half
- 17 of the department's TASA funds being eligible to communities
- 18 with a population of 5,000 or less (nonurban) and the other half
- 19 being eligible to communities with a population of 5,001 -
- 20 200,000 (small urban). In large urbanized areas with
- 21 populations over 200,000, FHWA requires that the state
- 22 suballocate TASA funding directly to MPOs, based on their
- 23 relative share of population, to administer according to the
- 24 MPO's needs. The department is responsible for preliminary
- 25 engineering and construction oversight on both state-selected
- 26 and MPO-selected projects. Communities with populations of
- 27 50,000 or less are ideal candidates for the program because they

- 1 have a significant need to construct basic infrastructure for
- 2 safer walking and bicycling but have limited financial
- 3 resources. However, these communities face challenges in
- 4 developing TASA projects because they are more likely to request
- 5 the use of in-kind contributions to reduce their cash local
- 6 match, more likely to lack financial resources and technical
- 7 expertise to oversee project development and construction, and
- 8 more likely to withdraw projects from the program, resulting in
- 9 funds being returned to the program and the project sponsor
- 10 reimbursing the department for federal expenditures without the
- 11 project being constructed. These factors result in smaller
- 12 communities being less likely to apply for TASA funds and
- 13 therefore limiting competition for and use of funds, especially
- 14 in the nonurban category. The following rule additions and
- 15 revisions address these factors.

- Amendments to \$11.404, Eligible Activities, add new subsection
- 18 (b) and re-designate the existing subsections accordingly. New
- 19 subsection (b) allows planning and design activities for the
- 20 construction of bicycle and pedestrian facilities to be eligible
- 21 for reimbursement but only for projects located in communities
- 22 with a population of 50,000 or less.
- 24 Amendments to §11.405, Allowable Costs, make various changes to
- 25 the section, add new subsections (b) and (e), and re-designate
- 26 the existing subsections accordingly. Subsection (a) is amended
- 27 to clarify which costs are allowable. New subsection (b)

- 1 transfers and revises existing \$11.406(b) to provide that costs
- 2 incurred before the execution of the local agreement or before
- 3 federal and state authorization to proceed are not eligible for
- 4 reimbursement. Re-designated subsection (c) is changed to
- 5 provide that the costs of preliminary engineering, including
- 6 environmental studies and documentation, design, and plans,
- 7 specifications, and estimates (PS&E), are allowable only for
- 8 projects located in communities with a population of 50,000 or
- 9 less. This change reduces the financial burden of plan
- 10 development for smaller communities. New subsection (e) and the
- 11 change to re-designated subsection (d) clarify that pre-
- 12 construction costs are the responsibility of the project sponsor
- 13 unless the section provides otherwise.

- 15 Currently, the department's TASA program only funds
- 16 construction. Allowing project sponsors to use expenses that
- 17 were incurred in the plans, specifications, and estimate
- 18 development phase of a project as in-kind contributions was
- 19 intended to alleviate the burden of the local match for
- 20 construction. However, experience has shown that in-kind
- 21 contributions complicate project development and billing, delay
- 22 project delivery and obligation of funds, and require
- 23 substantial district and division staff time for oversight.
- 25 Amendments to §11.406, Local Funding Match, eliminate in-kind
- 26 contributions as an option for local match. The amendments add
- 27 a new subsection (b), which expands options for local match in

Page 6 of 10

- 1 communities with a population of 50,000 or less to include
- 2 consideration of transportation development credits, state
- 3 funds, or both on an economic needs basis, subject to the
- 4 availability of funds. In subsection (c), the phrase "or
- 5 regulation" is deleted as an editing change because the
- 6 reference to federal law includes federal regulations. In
- 7 subsection (f), language is revised regarding the department's
- 8 direct state cost for consistency in the subchapter.

9

- 10 Preliminary cost estimates used to determine funding awards can
- 11 vary considerably from final engineer's estimates. Current
- 12 rules require project sponsors to be responsible for all of the
- 13 costs of overruns, which has led to withdrawal of projects or
- 14 reductions in project scope. Meanwhile, excess funds from
- 15 projects that are completed at a cost under the amount awarded
- 16 are returned to the department's TASA program balance, leading
- 17 to increased risk of funds lapsing due to federal guidelines'
- 18 limitation on the time during which TASA funds are available for
- 19 obligation.

- 21 Amendments to §11.411, Selection of Projects by the Commission,
- 22 authorize available program funds to be used for certain project
- 23 overruns. Subsection (d) is modified to replicate the existing
- 24 language in the Safe Routes to School (SRTS) Program rules (43
- 25 TAC §25.505(d)) to allow the responsible division administering
- 26 the program to consider applying program funds that remain after
- 27 the awards or that are returned to the program due to cost

Page 7 of 10

- 1 underruns to projects with overruns, which will help minimize
- 2 risk of lapsing TASA funds. Additional criteria language is
- 3 added describing how the responsible division will apply these
- 4 additional funds to projects with overruns on a needs basis.

5

- 6 The last sentence of subsection (d) is re-designated as
- 7 subsection (e) and subsection (e) is re-designated accordingly.

8

- 9 FISCAL NOTE
- 10 Brian Ragland, Chief Financial Officer, has determined, in
- 11 accordance with Government Code, §2001.024(a)(4), that as a
- 12 result of enforcing or administering the rules for each of the
- 13 first five years in which the proposed rules are in effect,
- 14 there will be no fiscal implications for state or local
- 15 governments as a result of enforcing or administering the rules.

16

- 17 LOCAL EMPLOYMENT IMPACT STATEMENT
- 18 Eric Gleason, Director, Public Transportation Division, has
- 19 determined that there will be no significant impact on local
- 20 economies or overall employment as a result of enforcing or
- 21 administering the proposed rules and therefore, a local
- 22 employment impact statement is not required under Government
- 23 Code, \$2001.022.

- 25 PUBLIC BENEFIT
- 26 Eric Gleason has determined, as required by Government Code,
- 27 \$2001.024(a)(5), that for each year of the first five years in

- 1 which the proposed rules are in effect, the public benefit
- 2 anticipated as a result of enforcing or administering the rules
- 3 will be more efficient and streamlined implementation of bicycle
- 4 and pedestrian infrastructure in Texas communities with less
- 5 than 50,000 in population.

- 7 COSTS ON REGULATED PERSONS
- 8 Eric Gleason, has also determined, as required by Government Code,
- 9 \$2001.024(a)(5), that for each year of that period there are no
- 10 anticipated economic costs for persons, including a state agency,
- 11 special district, or local government, required to comply with the
- 12 proposed rules and therefore, Government Code, \$2001.0045, does
- 13 not apply to this rulemaking.

14

- 15 ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT AND REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS
- 16 There will be no adverse economic effect on small businesses,
- 17 micro-businesses, or rural communities, as defined by Government
- 18 Code, \$2006.001, and therefore, an economic impact statement and
- 19 regulatory flexibility analysis are not required under Government
- 20 Code, \$2006.002.

- 22 GOVERNMENT GROWTH IMPACT STATEMENT
- 23 Eric Gleason has considered the requirements of Government Code,
- 24 \$2001.0221 and anticipates that the proposed rules will have no
- 25 effect on government growth. He expects that during the first
- 26 five years that the rule would be in effect:
- 27 (1) it would not create or eliminate a government program;

- 1 (2) its implementation would not require the creation of
- 2 new employee positions or the elimination of existing employee
- 3 positions;
- 4 (3) its implementation would not require an increase or
- 5 decrease in future legislative appropriations to the agency;
- 6 (4) it would not require an increase or decrease in fees
- 7 paid to the agency;
- 8 (5) it would not create a new regulation;
- 9 (6) it would not expand, limit, or repeal an existing
- 10 regulation;
- 11 (7) it would not increase or decrease the number of
- 12 individuals subject to its applicability; and
- 13 (8) it would not positively or adversely affect this
- 14 state's economy.

- 16 TAKINGS IMPACT ASSESSMENT
- 17 Eric Gleason has determined that a written takings impact
- 18 assessment is not required under Government Code, §2007.043.
- 19
- 20 SUBMITTAL OF COMMENTS
- 21 Written comments on the proposed amendments to §\$11.403-11.406,
- 22 and §11.411 may be submitted to Rule Comments, General Counsel
- 23 Division, Texas Department of Transportation, 125 East 11th
- 24 Street, Austin, Texas 78701-2483 or to RuleComments@txdot.gov
- 25 with the subject line "Transportation Alternatives Set-Aside"
- 26 Program Rule Revisions." The deadline for receipt of comments
- 27 is 5:00 p.m. on November 9, 2020. In accordance with

- 1 Transportation Code, §201.811(a)(5), a person who submits
- 2 comments must disclose, in writing with the comments, whether
- 3 the person does business with the department, may benefit
- 4 monetarily from the proposed amendments, or is an employee of
- 5 the department.

- 7 STATUTORY AUTHORITY
- 8 The amendments are proposed under Transportation Code, §201.101,
- 9 which provides the Texas Transportation Commission (commission)
- 10 with the authority to establish rules for the conduct of the
- 11 work of the department.

12

- 13 CROSS REFERENCE TO STATUTES IMPLEMENTED BY THIS RULEMAKING
- 14 Title 23, United States Code, §133(h).

GCD: 7/7/2020 2:59 PM

- 1 SUBCHAPTER G. TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES SET-ASIDE PROGRAM
- 2 §11.403. Project Selection by MPOs.
- 3 (a) This section applies only to an MPO serving an
- 4 urbanized area with a population over 200,000 and the award of
- 5 TA Set-Aside funds suballocated for such an urbanized area.
- 6 (b) The MPO, in consultation with the department, shall
- 7 develop a competitive process to allow project sponsors to
- 8 submit project applications for funding that achieve the
- 9 objectives of the TA Set-Aside Program.
- 10 (c) The MPO shall coordinate determinations regarding
- 11 project eligibility, subject to audit by the FHWA.
- 12 (d) The MPO, in consultation with the department, shall
- 13 conduct project selection in accordance with all applicable
- 14 federal and state laws and regulations.
- 15 (e) The MPO, in consultation with the department, shall
- 16 include the department's direct state costs for oversight of
- 17 preliminary engineering and construction in TA Set-Aside project
- 18 awards.
- (f)  $[\frac{(e)}{(e)}]$  Following the conclusion of the competitive
- 20 process, the MPO shall provide to the department a list of all
- 21 projects submitted during the program call on which the selected
- 22 projects are identified, and immediately shall begin the process
- 23 required to include the selected projects in its TIP.
- 24 (g) [<del>(f)</del>] The project sponsor shall conduct project
- 25 implementation in accordance with all applicable federal and
- 26 state laws and regulations.

NOTE: Additions underlined

Deletions in [ ]

Page 2 of 8

1 (h)[<del>(g)</del>] If a project is located on state right-of-way, the

2 project sponsor is responsible for securing a land-use permit

- 3 from the department prior to construction.
- 4 (i)[<del>(h)</del>] A project sponsor requesting an adjustment to the
- 5 minimum local funding match requirements based on the county's
- 6 status as an economically disadvantaged county is required to
- 7 obtain written authorization from the department, in the form
- 8 prescribed by the department, and must include the form with the
- 9 application submitted to the MPO. If an adjustment is granted,
- 10 the adjustment percentage in effect for the county at the time
- 11 the application is submitted to the MPO will be used. The
- 12 county must remain eligible for the adjustment until the date
- 13 the project sponsor enters into the local agreement.
- (j)[<del>(i)</del>] Projects, or substantially similar projects,
- 15 submitted during a program call administered by the MPO are not
- 16 eligible for consideration under a concurrent program call
- 17 administered by the department.
- (k)  $\left(\frac{1}{1}\right)$  Not later than November 15 of each year, the MPO
- 19 shall submit to the department a report that describes:
- 20 (1) the number of project applications received by the
- 21 MPO for the preceding federal fiscal year (the period of October
- 22 1 through September 30), including the aggregate cost of the
- 23 projects for which applications are received and the types of
- 24 projects to be carried out, expressed as percentages of the
- 25 MPO's total apportionment for TA Set-Asides; and

NOTE: Additions underlined

Deletions in [ ]

Page 3 of 8

1 (2) the number of projects selected for funding by the

- 2 MPO for the preceding federal fiscal year, including the
- 3 aggregate cost and location of projects selected.

4

- 5 §11.404. Eligible Activities.
- 6 (a) During a program call administered by the department,
- 7 TA Set-Aside funds may be awarded for any of the following
- 8 activities:
- 9 (1) construction of on-road and off-road trail
- 10 facilities for pedestrians, bicyclists, and other non-motorized
- 11 forms of transportation, including sidewalks, bicycle
- 12 infrastructure, pedestrian and bicycle signals, traffic calming
- 13 techniques, lighting and other safety-related infrastructure,
- 14 and transportation projects to achieve compliance with the
- 15 Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990;
- 16 (2) construction of infrastructure-related projects
- 17 and systems that will provide safe routes for non-drivers,
- 18 including children, older adults, and individuals with
- 19 disabilities to access daily needs;
- 20 (3) conversion and use of abandoned railroad corridors
- 21 for trails for pedestrians, bicyclists, or other non-motorized
- 22 transportation users; and
- 23 (4) construction of infrastructure-related projects to
- 24 improve the ability of students to walk and bicycle to school,
- 25 including sidewalk improvements, traffic calming and speed
- 26 reduction improvements, pedestrian and bicycle crossing

NOTE: Additions underlined

Deletions in [ ]

- 1 improvements, on-street bicycle facilities, off-street bicycle
- 2 and pedestrian facilities, secure bicycle parking facilities,
- 3 and traffic diversion improvements in the vicinity of schools.
- 4 (b) Planning and design activities for the construction of
- 5 bicycle and pedestrian facilities are eligible only for projects
- 6 located in communities with a population of 50,000 or less.
- 7 (c) [\(\frac{\text{(b)}}{\text{-}}\)] A project that will require the acquisition of
- 8 real property through the exercise of eminent domain or
- 9 condemnation is not eligible for participation in the TA Set-
- 10 Aside Program.
- (d) [<del>(c)</del>] Whether proposed as an independent project or as
- 12 an element of a larger transportation project, the project must
- 13 be limited to a logical unit of work and be constructible as an
- 14 independent project.

- 16 §11.405. Allowable Costs.
- 17 (a) Costs are allowable only if they are necessary
- 18 <u>expenditures for a construction-related project and</u>
- 19 [expenditures that] are eliqible for reimbursement under
- 20 applicable statutes and regulations.
- 21 (b) Costs incurred before the execution of the local
- 22 agreement or before federal and state approval and authorization
- 23 to proceed are not eligible for reimbursement.
- (c) [<del>(b)</del>] The costs of preliminary engineering, including
- 25 environmental studies and documentation [planning], design, and
- 26 plans, specifications, and estimates, are [not] allowable costs

NOTE: Additions underlined

Deletions in [ ]

Page 5 of 8

1 only for projects located in communities with a population of

- 2 50,000 or less.
- 3 (d)  $[\frac{(c)}{(c)}]$  Eligible pre-construction costs incurred by the
- 4 department are reimbursable. [All other pre-construction costs
- 5 are the responsibility of the project sponsor.
- 6 (e) All pre-construction costs are the responsibility of
- 7 the project sponsor except as provided by this section.
- 8 (f) [<del>(d)</del>] Expenditures for routine operation and maintenance
- 9 are not allowable costs unless specifically allowed under the
- 10 individual federal category for which the project qualifies.
- 11
- 12 \$11.406. Local Funding Match.
- 13 (a) Except as provided by this section, the [The] local
- 14 funding match must be [is a] cash [match or a combination of
- 15 cash and in-kind contribution] provided by or through the
- 16 project sponsor. [An in-kind contribution may include only
- 17 actual and documented costs incurred by the project sponsor for
- 18 the development of project plans, specifications, and estimates
- 19 that would otherwise be eligible for reimbursement under
- 20 applicable statutes and regulations.
- 21 (b) For a community with a population of 50,000 or less,
- 22 transportation development credits, state funds, or both may be
- 23 available to apply to all or part of the local funding match if
- 24 the community:

NOTE: Additions underlined

Deletions in [ ]

- 1 (1) is in an economically disadvantaged county, as
- 2 defined in the Transportation Code, §222.053(a) or described by
- 3 Transportation Code, \$222.053(a-1); or
- 4 (2) satisfies economic need criteria specified in the
- 5 program call materials.
- 6 [(b) Costs incurred prior to execution of the local
- 7 agreement or prior to federal and state approval and
- 8 authorization to proceed are not eligible for consideration as
- 9 in-kind contributions.
- 10 (c) Funds from other federal programs may be used as a
- 11 local funding match only when specifically authorized by federal
- 12 law [or regulation].
- 13 (d) Donated services may not be accepted as a local funding
- 14  $match[\tau]$  but may be used to reduce the overall cost of the
- 15 project.
- 16 (e) If a project selected by the commission is implemented
- 17 by the department, the project sponsor must provide the local
- 18 funding match prior to the commencement of project activities
- 19 for each phase of work.
- 20 (f) Projects selected by the commission will include the
- 21 department's direct state costs for oversight of preliminary
- 22 engineering and construction in TA Set-Aside project awards[an
- 23 administrative cost for the department's oversight]. [The local
- 24 funding match associated with this administrative cost must be
- 25 provided in cash.

NOTE: Additions underlined

Deletions in [ ]

- 1 §11.411. Selection of Projects by the Commission.
- 2 (a) The commission, by written order, will select projects
- 3 for funding under the TA Set-Aside Program based on:
- 4 (1) recommendations from the director of the division
- 5 responsible for administering the TA Set-Aside Program;
- 6 (2) the potential benefit to the state of the project;
- 7 and
- 8 (3) whether the project enhances the surface
- 9 transportation system.
- 10 (b) The commission is not bound by project selection
- 11 recommendations provided by the department.
- 12 (c) The department will notify the project sponsor of the
- 13 selection.
- 14 (d) The commission will award an amount [specify a fixed
- 15 amount] of TA Set-Aside funds for each project. If program
- 16 funds remain or are returned to the program due to cost
- 17 underruns, the responsible division administering the program
- 18 may apply those funds to project overruns based on:
- 19 (1) justification of overruns;
- 20 (2) timing of request;
- 21 (3) availability of funds;
- 22 (4) a reasonable expectation of the ability of the
- 23 project sponsor to complete the project; and
- 24 (5) if overrun requests exceed available funds, the
- 25 criteria applicable to the use of state funds under §11.406(b)
- 26 of this subchapter.

NOTE: Additions underlined

Deletions in [ ]

1 [Project costs in excess of this amount are the responsibility

- 2 of the project sponsor.
- 3 (e) The project sponsor may seek additional funds through
- the TA Set-Aside Program in subsequent program calls. 4
- (f)[<del>(e)</del>] A project that is not selected must be resubmitted 5
- to receive consideration during subsequent program calls. 6

NOTE: Additions underlined

Deletions in [ ]